



www.radiationresearch.org

From: Correspondence from Eileen O'Connor, Director, EM Radiation Research Trust (sent via email on 27 May 2026)

To: Mr Andrew Weavers, Colchester Borough Council

Cc: Cllr Mark Cory | Cllr Andrea Luxford Vaughan | Cllr Martin Goss | Cllr Paul Smith | Cllr Alison Jay | Cllr Julie Young | Cllr Michael Lilley | Democratic Services (Colchester Borough Council) | Brian Stein CBE | Julie Hunt | Pamela Donnelly | Cllr David King

Dear Mr Weavers,

Thank you for your response dated 22 May 2026, issued further to the EM Radiation Research Trust's (RRT) correspondence dated 17 April 2026 and 16 March 2026 relating to the Council's commissioned *Intelligens 5G Report*. The RRT notes that the substantive evidential questions raised remain unanswered, despite further correspondence being returned by the Council to the author of the report inviting a response.

On 20 April 2026, Mr Weavers stated: "Thank you for your email. I will refer your comments to the report's authors and will revert back to you." No subsequent substantive response addressing the evidential matters raised has been provided.

For the avoidance of doubt, the core issues identified in our correspondence remain unresolved, including:

- How evidence relating to long-term non-thermal biological effects was assessed.
- Whether any independent assessment outside ICNIRP-aligned assumptions was considered.
- How the independence and objectivity of the consultancy commissioned to produce the 5G Report were assessed, and what evidential basis was relied upon in accepting its conclusions.
- Whether impacts on vulnerable groups, including children and individuals with medical implants and pre-existing health conditions, were specifically considered.

While the Council states that it is not the national regulator, local authorities nevertheless retain responsibilities in relation to governance, equality duties, public engagement, and the evidential basis relied upon in public decision making.

In light of the above, the RRT considers that these unresolved matters materially affect the reliability of the *Intelligens 5G Report* as a basis for ongoing policy and decision-making.

Furthermore, concerns regarding the independence of the commissioned report were raised in a public council meeting (Council Watch, 6 April 2026), during which it was stated that "it

appears we have a report on 5G which was supposed to be independent and has been written by an organisation which now appears to be a prominent supporter of the 5G network” (video record: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rsDul_1fxhM).

These matters collectively reinforce the need for clarity regarding how independence was defined, assessed, and evidenced by the Council at the point of commissioning and reliance upon the report.

For clarity and to protect our organisational reputation, particularly in light of references made to the RRT within the *Intelligens 5G Report*, this correspondence will be retained and forms part of an ongoing documented record of matters which remain unresolved.

This correspondence will be published on the EM Radiation Research Trust website for transparency and public record: <https://radiationresearch.org/rrt-challenges-colchester-council-over-misleading-5g-report/>

These issues are therefore considered formally outstanding and may be referenced in future governance processes, public scrutiny, information governance considerations, or any subsequent review relating to wireless infrastructure policy and its impacts.

Yours sincerely,

Eileen O'Connor

Director, EM Radiation Research Trust

Brian Stein CBE

Chairman, EM Radiation Research Trust

Chetwode House
Leicester Road
Melton Mowbray
Leicestershire
LE13 1GA