



www.radiationresearch.org

The Science Is Clear – Wireless Radiation Is a Cancer Risk

2011 WHO/IARC Classification: Possible Human Carcinogen (Group 2B)

In 2011, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), part of the World Health Organisation (WHO), classified the entire radiofrequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) spectrum as a Group 2B “Possible Human Carcinogen.” This category includes radiation emitted by mobile phones, phone masts, Wi-Fi, and smart meters.

The classification was based on a rigorous scientific review of human, animal, and mechanistic studies. The vote was nearly unanimous: 29 to 1.

Swedish oncologist Dr. Lennart Hardell stated clearly:

“The agent is carcinogenic to humans.”

Read the official 2011 IARC statement: https://www.iarc.who.int/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/pr208_E.pdf

2025 Systematic Review: Confirmed Cancer Evidence in Animals

In 2025, the WHO commissioned a comprehensive systematic review of 52 animal studies examining RF radiation exposure. Published in Environment International, the review found:

- High-certainty evidence of malignant heart schwannomas and brain gliomas in male rats
 - Moderate-certainty evidence of additional tumours in the adrenal gland, liver, and blood
 - Confirmation of biological mechanisms including oxidative stress and DNA damage
- These findings strongly support upgrading RF radiation to Group 1 - Known Human Carcinogen, the same category as tobacco and asbestos.

Read the peer-reviewed publication:

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0160412025002338>

Read our summary: <https://radiationresearch.org/breaking-news-who-emf-project-review-reached-a-critical-conclusion-there-is-reliable-evidence-that-rf-wireless-radiation-increases-the-incidence-of-cancer-in-laboratory-animals/>

Why Is the UK Government Still Silent?

- Why aren't schools, healthcare providers, and the public being warned?
- Why do UK authorities continue to rely on outdated ICNIRP safety guidelines?
- Why is there no official acknowledgement of WHO's own findings?

We Demand Transparency and Urgent Action

- Recognition, enforcement, and upgrade of the 2011 IARC classification
- Immediate official acknowledgment of the 2025 WHO systematic review
- Abandonment of insufficient ICNIRP exposure limits in favour of science-based, health-protective standards
- Implementation of the Precautionary Principle, especially to protect children and other vulnerable groups
- Full public disclosure and protective policies to safeguard health

Why Are We Abandoning Landlines That Do Not Cause Cancer?

Landline phones when wired are a safe, reliable technology that do not emit radiofrequency radiation. (Note: DECT cordless phones do emit RF radiation and should not be confused with traditional wired landlines.)

Yet these safe systems are being quietly and systematically phased out, replaced by wireless alternatives linked to cancer risks including brain tumours, heart cancers, oxidative stress, and DNA damage.

Why are we dismantling a communication method with no known carcinogenic effects, in favour of one that now carries global health warnings? We should be preserving and promoting wired infrastructure, not removing it from homes, hospitals, schools, and care settings where safety, reliability, and public health should come first. The Time for Secrecy and Delay Is Over - The scientific evidence is no longer uncertain. It is irrefutable.

We are calling on citizens, professionals, policy-makers, and parents:

- 1) Demand full transparency.
- 2) Insist on wired alternatives.
- 3) Stand for safety.

Share this information. Contact your MP or local council. Refuse the removal of your landline and wired internet services.

The crisis is no longer looming, it's here. Together, we must act now to protect lives.